Third World Network
Paper Series on DivSeek # 3
25 May 2016

# DivSeek Founder Offers Patent Rights on Climate Change Genes to Syngenta and DuPont in Exchange for US \$400,000

Genome Canada funding supports DivSeek to "devise a governance mechanism for sharing plant genomic resources"

By Edward Hammond
Director, Prickly Research (eh@pricklyresearch.com)
Research Consultant, Third World Network (www.twn.my)

Records released under Freedom of Information laws reveal that DivSeek founder Loren Rieseberg, a geneticist at the University of British Columbia (Canada), has offered gene giants DuPont and Syngenta access to unpublished research results, gene sequences, and patent rights to climate change genes identified by his DivSeek-affiliated project.

In return for privileged access to sequences and patenting opportunities relevant to both sunflower and soya (soybeans), Rieseberg wants the seed giants to provide US \$400,000 for his research project.

DivSeek has also received funding to attempt to write the policy rulebook on access to genetic sequence data in agriculture. Rieseberg's project, which is mainly funded by Genome Canada, includes the work of his employee Emily Marden, who is charged with "devis[ing] a governance mechanism for sharing plant genomic resources" and to influence the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) and the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA) in ways supporting Canada's interest.

Also revealed here is recently released documentation of DuPont's effort to get inside DivSeek with the help of University of Georgia professor Scott Jackson, who also considers himself to be a DivSeek founder.

These revelations further call into question the "pure" science and apolitical pretenses of the controversial project, which aims to coordinate projects to deep sequence hundreds of thousands of crop seed genomes and to place the resulting sequence data into interoperable databases. It also calls into question statements made to civil society by DivSeek's representatives, particularly the Global Crop Diversity Trust.

## Industry offered Patents on DivSeek Sequences

An accomplished geneticist, Rieseberg pitched his DivSeek sunflower and soya genomics project to seed giants DuPont and Syngenta in July 2015, offering patent rights and privileged access in return for about US \$400,000. He wrote representatives of both companies, "collaborators will have early access to information and germplasm from the project. In addition, there maybe [sic] opportunities to obtain patent protection on resistance alleles that have been modified to enhance efficacy."

To the pitch, Rieseberg attached his funded Genome Canada project proposal, drawing the companies' attention to a passage on intellectual property and to how synthetic biology can be used to strengthen proprietary claims. The passage reads in relevant part:

"While legal protection of natural allelic variants, such as those identified by the project, is weak, if such variants are modified using gene editing to enhance trait efficacy, then strong patent protection becomes possible. Indeed, Rieseberg's lab is collaborating with a major seed company to patent modified natural variants underlying a valuable crop protection trait. A similar strategy is likely to be employed here..."<sup>2</sup>

DuPont quickly replied to Rieseberg's proposal. Instead of funding, the company offered "in kind" research on Rieseberg's germplasm - introgression lines combining wild and cultivated sunflowers - at its facility in Seville, Spain.<sup>3</sup> Rieseberg told colleagues that he was inclined to accept DuPont's offer, and for it to effectively replace the University of California's role in Rieseberg's project.<sup>4</sup>

This would make DuPont not the first but the <u>fifth</u> company to sign on to the DivSeek founder's project. With encouragement from Genome Canada, Rieseberg had already garnered support from KWS Seeds, Advanta, Biogemma, and Nuseed Americas.<sup>5</sup>

DuPont has eagerly sought an opportunity to get inside DivSeek. Gregory May, the company's Senior Research Manager, has sought greater DuPont involvement in DivSeek through Peter Jackson, a University of Georgia geneticist. Jackson, like Rieseberg, describes himself as a founder of DivSeek.<sup>6</sup> In early 2015, May wrote Jackson that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Rieseberg L (2015). Pioneer Syngenta Proposed Collaboration. Document sent via electronic mail to officials at DuPont and Syngenta. 17 July. Obtained under the Georgia Open Records Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Rieseberg L and J Burke (2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Streit, L (2015). Electronic mail to Loren Rieseberg. 5 October. Streit is Soy and Oilseeds Platform Director for DuPont Pioneer. Obtained under the Georgia Open Records Act. Syngenta's reply to Rieseberg's proposal has not been included in records released to date.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Rieseberg L (2015). Electronic mail to John Burke (University of Georgia). 6 October. Obtained under the Georgia Open Records Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Rieseberg L and J Burke (2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> While origins of DivSeek are unclear, by Jackson's reckoning, it is him, Rieseberg, Susan McCouch (Cornell University), and Hannes Dempewolf (Global Crop Diversity Trust) who began the initiative. Dempewolf is a former student of Rieseberg. (Jackson S (2014). Electronic mail to JS Angle H Scherm and RN Shustad. 23 September. Obtained under the Georgia Open Records Act.)

companies "would certainly be in a position to contribute as much or more than most of the current [DivSeek] partners," adding "not to mention the cash that could ... come DivSeek's way." <sup>7</sup>

Jackson told May that he supports bringing companies into DivSeek, but not necessarily as data (i.e. gene sequence) providers, since some DivSeek partners wanted DivSeek "*much like GenBank – everything available*" and feared corporate data would have access restrictions. Instead, Jackson suggested DuPont could involve itself in DivSeek in other ways (i.e. funder and data user). Jackson suggested that May lobby the Global Crop Diversity Trust in order for DuPont to secure a place inside DivSeek.<sup>8</sup>

#### DivSeek's Very Political Genomics Governance Plans

Meanwhile, the same Genome Canada grant that supports Rieseberg's applied sunflower and soya project includes money for Rieseberg's employee, Emily Marden, to pursue activities as the chair of DivSeek's unusual "governance committee", including development of policy proposals for how to govern access and use of genetic sequence data.

DivSeek's "governance committee" uses several different names and is only generously described as a "committee". Records released to date show that it actually operates as an extension of its two full-fledged members, Marden and Peter Phillips, a professor at the University of Saskatchewan. Two others in Canada are members, but both of them are graduate students.<sup>9</sup>

Peter Bretting of the US Department of Agriculture (USDA) is a fifth member but e-mails released under the US Freedom of Information Act reveal that Bretting is not closely involved. In August 2015 Bretting asked Marden to expand the committee's membership, noting its narrow geographic base, but Marden replied that her attempt to recruit additional members was unsuccessful.<sup>10</sup>

Genome Canada's support to Marden falls under its "GE<sup>3</sup>LS" program, which addresses "Genomics and its Ethical, Environmental, Economic, Legal, and Social Aspects". In this case, the GE<sup>3</sup>LS grant is not social or environmental science so much as funding for lobbying aimed at implementing DivSeek's preferred alternatives for (non) governance of access to genomic data, including influencing international treaties in this regard,

<sup>9</sup> This corrects our previous report on DivSeek, which stated that there were two professors at Saskatchewan who were members of the "governance committee". In fact, one of the University of Saskatchewan members is not a professor but a doctoral student of Phillips. The other Canadian member is a student of Marden.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Jackson S and G May (2015). Electronic mail exchange on DuPont involvement in DivSeek. 24 February. Obtained under the Georgia Open Records Act.

<sup>8</sup> ihid

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Marden E and P Bretting (2015). Electronic mails exchanged on DivSeek's "governance committee". 13 August. Obtained under the Freedom of Information Act.

specifically the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA) and the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD).<sup>11</sup>

A key premise a Genome Canada's funding is that "there are multiple layers of ambiguity arising from [ITPGRFA] and its application that may hamper innovation," and that these ambiguities can be advantageously interpreted. Among the ambiguities listed is the scope of the ITPGRFA Standard Material Transfer Agreement, the Treaty's obligations regarding gene sequences, whether or not gene edited plants are covered, and how combinations of Treaty and non-Treaty seeds are treated.

Marden's job under the grant is to "identify areas where the legal frameworks are ambiguous and areas where there are opportunities to interpret the frameworks in a beneficial manner [for Canadian interests]." Marden is further tasked "to bring the identified issues into broader policy discussions with the aim of facilitating further innovation in agricultural genomics." That is, by using her position in DivSeek and lobbying the ITPGRFA Secretariat, push forward Canadian agribusiness-friendly interpretations of alleged treaty ambiguities.

According to the grant, Marden will "devise a governance mechanism for sharing plant genomic resources that respects certain proprietary interests but facilitates innovation." The grant claims that, because she is Chair of the previously described DivSeek governance committee, "This position gives Marden a role at the center of determining how agricultural genomics data will be shared going forward." <sup>12</sup>

Whether or not DivSeek is ultimately at the center of any policy questions for gene sequence data remains to be seen. The full text of the Genome Canada-funded research project, as well as Rieseberg's written pitch to Syngenta and DuPont are posted online to accompany this paper. (See URL below.)

#### Is the Global Crop Diversity Trust Confused? Or is it Worse?

The Global Crop Diversity Trust is a coordinator of DivSeek, and Crop Trust staff have done a large proportion of the organizing work to get DivSeek started.

According to DivSeek steering committee records, the Crop Trust opposes DivSeek engagement with ITPGRFA policymakers, who have asked DivSeek for a report, because the Crop Trust allegedly considers such engagement to be too political.<sup>13</sup>

Yet the Chair of DivSeek's governance committee is operating under a grant that expressly calls for her to develop governance proposals for genomic data and to use her position to

<sup>12</sup>This and preceding quotes from Rieseberg L and J Burke (2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Rieseberg L and J Burke (2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See Hammond E (2016). Digital genebankers plan to ignore UN request on the impact of genomics and synthetic biology on access and benefit sharing. 4 April. URL: http://www.twn.my/announcement/digital genebanks final uslet.pdf

lobby the ITPGRFA and CBD. Also, as has been previously noted, DivSeek is considering a proposal for an invitation-only policy seminar to develop and advocate positions on genetic sequence data policy issues. <sup>14</sup>

The Crop Trust should not continue to pretend that DivSeek does not aim to engage in policymaking and lobbying.

Even more disturbing, the Crop Trust does not appear to be on the right side of truth when it comes to characterizing DivSeek's relationships with the private sector either.

In March 2016, the Crop Trust met with civil society organizations at its headquarters in Bonn, Germany. At the meeting, the Crop Trust claimed to CSOs that DivSeek has not sought or received and private sector funding - a claim that, at best, might be called obfuscation.

Others involved in DivSeek since the beginning privately note that discussions with Syngenta about funding for DivSeek began before the project was publicly announced. And documents previously released have described the DivSeek steering committee's interest in a Syngenta-proposed funding scheme, as well as efforts by Marden to recruit deeper corporate involvement in the project.<sup>15</sup>

In this paper, it is revealed that DivSeek's founder is offering patent rights to climate genes to DuPont and Syngenta. It is also revealed that a principle DivSeek scientist is supporting DuPont's bid to get inside the project.

The Crop Trust should explain why the written record on both DivSeek's involvement in policy issues and questions of seed company funding are not consistent with statements made by its staff.

#### **Conclusion**

In this third paper reporting the results of open records requests to US and Canadian institutions, it is further confirmed that DivSeek cannot continue to deny that it is working to shape international policy on access to genetic sequence data in its own interests, and can no longer pretend to be a "pure science" enterprise without significant ties to industry.

The Global Crop Diversity Trust in particular needs to explain why its portrayal of DivSeek to biodiversity policymakers and civil society organizations is so starkly at odds with the written record. Or risk serious damage to its credibility.

The newly released records also serve to further underscore the importance of CBD and ITPGRFA policymakers to act quickly to close the growing gap between physical and digital access to genetic resources. Sequences generated by project's like Rieseberg's can

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See Hammond (2016) above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> See Hammond (2016).

be shared and patented without material (seeds) changing hands, potentially enabling avoidance of access and benefit sharing obligations.

A key opportunity to begin this work in earnest will be for the Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity, meeting in Cancun, Mexico in December 2016 to request that the implications of genome sequencing in combination with gene editing be considered by the CBD's Ad Hoc Technical Expert Group on Synthetic Biology.

# **Previous Papers in this Series**

19 April 2016

Synthetic Biology and Agriculture: Access to genetic data is "the big issue of our time" <a href="http://www.twn.my/title2/intellectual">http://www.twn.my/title2/intellectual</a> property/info.service/2016/ip160406.htm

4 April 2016

Digital genebankers plan to ignore UN request on the impact of genomics and synthetic biology on access and benefit sharing

http://www.twn.my/title2/intellectual property/info.service/2016/ip160401.htm

## A Note on Sources

Records obtained under the open records laws that are referenced in this report may be viewed at:

http://www.pricklyresearch.com/AutoIndex/index.php?dir=digitalgenebanking/ In due course, the full set of DivSeek documents obtained under US and Canadian open records requests will be posted at the above URL.